

WEEKLY ECONOMIC UPDATE JULY 3, 2023

Stocks posted gains for the week to close out a stellar month, aided by positive economic data and reports that all major banks had passed the Federal Reserve's annual stress test.

The Dow Jones Industrial Average gained 2.02%, while the Standard & Poor's 500 rose 2.35%. The Nasdaq Composite index added 2.19%. The MSCI EAFE index, which tracks developed overseas stock markets, increased by 0.76%. 1,2,3



Market Index		Close	Week		Y-T-D
DJIA		34,407.60	+2.02%		+3.80%
NASDAQ		13,787.92	+2.19%	5	+31.73%
MSCI-EAFE		2,113.59	+0.76%	+0.76%	
S&P 500		4,450.38	+2.35%	5	+15.91%
4600 4500 4400 4300 4200 4100	4328.82	4378.41	4376.86	4396.44	4450.38
	Mon	Tue	Wed S&P 500 (daily clos	Thurs	Fri
		Treasury	Close	Week	Y-T-D
		10-Year Note	3.81%	+0.01%	+0.07%

Sources: The Wall Street Journal, June 30, 2023; Treasury.gov, June 30, 2023
Weekly performance for the Dow Jones Industrial Average, Standard & Poor's 500 Index, and NASDAQ
Composite Index is measured from the close of trading on Friday, June 23, to Friday, June 30 close. Weekly
performance for the MSCI-EAFE is measured from Friday, June 23, open to Thursday, June 29 close. Weekly
and year-to-date 10-year Treasury note yield are expressed in basis points.

Stocks Climb as Recession Fears Ease

Investors shrugged off weekend news of a short-lived insurrection in Russia and calls later in the week for more restrictive monetary policies from global central bankers.

What powered early-week gains? New home sales, durable goods orders, and a rise in consumer confidence proved influential. More so were Thursday's reports of a drop in initial jobless claims and an upward revision in first quarter Gross Domestic Product growth, which helped allay recession fears. The results of the Fed's annual bank stress tests, which all major banks passed–further emboldened investors.

Stock prices rallied Friday following an encouraging inflation report, capping the end to a solid week, month, and first half.

Global Central Bankers Meet

At last week's European Central Bank Forum, central-bank governors from around the world gathered to discuss their monetary outlook and the policies needed to manage inflation amid unexpected economic strength.

Fed Chair Powell reiterated that more rate hikes were coming owing to a robust labor market. He added that he wouldn't dismiss the idea of hiking rates at consecutive Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) meetings. While saying there is a possibility of an economic downturn, Powell didn't believe it was the most likely case.

Meanwhile, bankers from the European Central Bank and the U.K. echoed Powell's comments, declaring that further rate hikes are needed to tame their still-elevated inflation rates.⁴

This Week: Key Economic Data

Monday: Purchasing Managers' Index (PMI) Manufacturing. Institute for Supply Management (ISM) Manufacturing Index.

Wednesday: Factory Orders. FOMC Minutes.

Thursday: Automated Data Processing (ADP) Employment Report. Jobless Claims. Institute for Supply Management (ISM) Services Index. Purchasing Managers' Index (PMI) Composite. Job Openings and Turnover Survey (JOLTS).

Friday: Employment Situation.

Quote of the Week

"All truth passes through three stages. First, it is ridiculed. Second, it is violently opposed. Third, it is accepted as self-evident."

- Arthur Schopenhauer



Fox News recently aired a segment discussing the possibility that the US dollar will cease to be the global reserve currency and what that would mean for Americans. The tone of the piece suggested that a "catastrophic" decline of the US dollar was not only possible, but perhaps even imminent. CNN also aired a segment suggesting the US will face "a reckoning like none before" if the "dollar's dominance" in the global economy falls significantly.

Much of the analysis was framed to stoke the public's fears of Chinese geopolitical power, and the Fox segment was especially hyperbolic in its predictions of near-total economic devastation resulting from any movement away from the dollar in international trade and reserves.

Yet both segments are correct that events are piling up that point to at least a gradual decline in the dollar's preeminence in the global economy and that this could lead to serious economic trouble for Washington. Events are not moving as quickly as the pundits are predicting, but they are moving, and if current trends continue, the United States will find itself facing a new and enduring era of stubborn price inflation and weakening US geopolitical power.

Much of the discussion around the decline of the dollar is framed as a matter of the Chinese renminbi (RMB, or yuan) becoming the global reserve currency. This purported imminent replacement of the dollar with

the RMB, however, is not going to happen any time soon. There are many reasons for this. China still uses capital controls, its economy is not nearly as open as the US economy, and US government debt still looks less risky than Chinese debt. Yet we are witnessing a growing trend in the world's regimes of moving away from the dollar as the overwhelming favorite among currencies used for international trade.

First, there is the recent agreement at the Russia-China summit to carry out trade transactions "between Russia and the countries of Asia, Africa, and Latin America," as Vladimir Putin put it. This would be quite a change from the status quo in which nondollar transactions make up a tiny portion of international trade settlements. This trend is catching on elsewhere as well. Last month, China and Brazil reportedly "struck a deal to allow companies to settle their trade transactions in the two countries' own currencies, ditching the United States dollar as an intermediary." Meanwhile, a French company bought sixty-five thousand tons of liquified natural gas (LNG), meaning "Chinese national oil company CNOOC and France's TotalEnergies have completed China's first yuan-settled LNG trade." Oil giant Saudi Arabia has also repeatedly stated that it's amenable to opening up its oil trade to currencies other than the US dollar, with an eye toward accepting RMB.

None of this threatens to immediately send the dollar into a tailspin or "collapse." The dollar's role in the world economy is still huge, and the dollar remains the most used currency by far. This becomes all the more obvious when we look at how much the US dollar still dominates foreign exchange reserves which are assets in foreign currencies held on reserve by central banks. These reserves are partly an indication of just how much central banks anticipate dollars will be needed to engage in international trade.

Dollars still make up 58 percent of foreign exchange reserves. That's far above even the second-place currency, the euro, which is at a mere 20 percent. All other currencies are far behind that. The Japanese yen makes up about 5.5 percent of all reserves, and the pound sterling makes up under 5 percent. The RMB is in fifth place at about 2.7 percent.

While the RMB is not about to replace the dollar, general movement away from the dollar—in favor of a mixture of other currencies—is indeed in place. In fact, as of the fourth quarter of last year, the dollar made up the lowest percentage of foreign reserves since 1995, falling from 66 percent of reserves in 2014.

Why Does Reserve Currency Status Matter? Being the country whose currency enjoys global reserve status brings both domestic and international advantages to the US regime.

Domestically, reserve currency status brings a greater global demand for dollars. This means more of a global willingness to absorb dollars into foreign central banks and foreign bank accounts even as the dollar

inflates and loses purchasing power. Ultimately, this means the US regime can get away with more monetary inflation, more financial repression, and more debt before domestic price inflation gets out of hand. After all, even if the US central bank (the Federal Reserve) creates \$8 trillion in new dollars in order to prop up US asset prices, much of the world will take those dollars out of US domestic markets, and this will reduce price inflation in the US—at least in the short term. Moreover, the fact the dollar dominates in global trade transactions means more global demand for US debt. Or, as Reuters put it in 2019, the dollar is used "for at least half of international trade invoices—five times more than the United States' share of world goods imports—fueling demand for U.S. assets."

Those assets include US government debt, and this pushes down the interest rate at which the US government must pay on its enormous \$30 trillion debt. This also decreases the likelihood of a US sovereign debt crisis. Domestically in the US, reserve status for the dollar mutes inflation, lowers interest rates, and enables more government spending.

Internationally, the US government enjoys many benefits from reserve status. For example, the US regime is much more easily able to impose economic sanctions on rival states, thanks to the role of dollars in international trade and banking. Dollars are central to the Society for Worldwide Interbank Financial Telecommunication (SWIFT) system, which is the main messaging network through which international trade transactions are initiated. In recent years, this control of SWIFT has enabled the US to largely exclude both Iran and Russia from much of the international banking system. The US has also frequently threatened to impose sanctions on a number of countries that have not been quick to accept US primacy in all regions of the world. This power was further enhanced due to a longstanding agreement in which oil-producing Arab states—primarily Saudi Arabia—use dollars for oil transactions in exchange for certain US military commitments. This dynamic is changing however, the most recent examples being China and Brazil agreeing to trade in their own currencies and the Chinese just having completed their very first trade of liquefied natural gas with the UAE that was settled in the Chinese currency instead of U.S. dollars.

Often, discussion about the dollar's reserve status creates a false dichotomy between total domination of the global monetary system on one hand and complete abandonment of the dollar on the other. A more likely scenario is that the dollar will weaken considerably but will remain among the most often used currencies. After all, even after the pound sterling lost its status as reserve currency in the 1930s, it did not disappear.

For example, let's say the US dollar sinks to 40 percent of all foreign reserves and is only used in one-third of all international trade invoices—instead of one half, as is now the case. This would not necessarily destroy the dollar or the US economy, but it would certainly weaken the

US regime's geopolitical position. As global infrastructure around other currencies grows, it will become easier for regimes and private firms to circumvent US sanctions. Perhaps more importantly, a world less awash in dollars will mean a world with less demand for US assets such as US government debt. That means higher interest rates for the US government and less of an ability to finance elective wars by inflating the currency.

In other words, even a weakening of the dollar's global demand will limit the US regime's ability to throw its weight around internationally. This is why in a recent interview with Fox News, US senator Marco Rubio worried that if other countries are using their own currencies in trade, "we won't be talking about sanctions in 5 years . . . because we won't have the ability to sanction them."

This doesn't require the full collapse of the dollar. It just requires a framework for other currencies. It will take a while, and some attempts will fail. But those frameworks are being built now, and not all of them will fail.

For obvious reasons, then, the US regime wants to maintain the US dollar's status. If the US regime were motivated to ensure economic prosperity and security for Americans, it could easily do so. All that is required is to end the US central bank's easy-money policies, reduce monetary inflation, and rein in deficit spending. This would immediately buttress both the real and perceived value of the dollar and make the dollar far more attractive as a currency that holds its value. Moreover, the US regime could ensure continued widespread use of the dollar if it stops using the dollar to bully other regimes and wage economic war on every regime that annoys the foreign-policy establishment. Without the dollar's weaponization—especially with reduced monetary inflation—there is very little motivation to abandon the dollar in favor of other currencies. After all, most other regimes inflate their own currencies at least as much as the dollar and engage in widespread deficit spending. Economically, the dollar remains less turbulent than both the euro and yen. So long as Washington does continue to weaponize the dollar, however, other regimes will have good reason to escape the dollar system.

It's difficult to see how the US regime will abandon this status quo any time soon, however. Washington is addicted to deficit spending, monetary inflation, and international meddling in the name of US primacy and war. It won't stop until domestic inflation becomes politically unbearable and foreign states finish building off-ramps from the dollar system.⁵

Footnotes and Sources

- 1. The Wall Street Journal, June 30, 2023.
- 2. The Wall Street Journal, June 30, 2023.

- 3. The Wall Street Journal, June 30, 2023.
- 4. CNBC, June 28, 2023.
- 5. zerohedge.com/geopolitical/why-regime-needs-dollar-be-global-reserve-currency

Investing involves risks, and investment decisions should be based on your own goals, time horizon, and tolerance for risk. The return and principal value of investments will fluctuate as market conditions change. When sold, investments may be worth more or less than their original cost.

The forecasts or forward-looking statements are based on assumptions, may not materialize, and are subject to revision without notice.

The market indexes discussed are unmanaged, and generally, considered representative of their respective markets. Index performance is not indicative of the past performance of a particular investment. Indexes do not incur management fees, costs, and expenses. Individuals cannot directly invest in unmanaged indexes. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

The Dow Jones Industrial Average is an unmanaged index that is generally considered representative of large-capitalization companies on the U.S. stock market. Nasdaq Composite is an index of the common stocks and similar securities listed on the NASDAQ stock market and is considered a broad indicator of the performance of technology and growth companies. The MSCI EAFE Index was created by Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) and serves as a benchmark of the performance of major international equity markets, as represented by 21 major MSCI indexes from Europe, Australia, and Southeast Asia. The S&P 500 Composite Index is an unmanaged group of securities that are considered to be representative of the stock market in general.

U.S. Treasury Notes are guaranteed by the federal government as to the timely payment of principal and interest. However, if you sell a Treasury Note prior to maturity, it may be worth more or less than the original price paid. Fixed income investments are subject to various risks including changes in interest rates, credit quality, inflation risk, market valuations, prepayments, corporate events, tax ramifications and other factors.

International investments carry additional risks, which include differences in financial reporting standards, currency exchange rates, political risks unique to a specific country, foreign taxes and regulations, and the potential for illiquid markets. These factors may result in greater share price volatility.

Please consult your financial professional for additional information.

This content is developed from sources believed to be providing accurate information. The information in this material is not intended as tax or legal advice. Please consult legal or tax professionals for specific information regarding your individual situation. This material was developed and produced by FMG Suite to provide information on a topic that may be of interest. FMG is not affiliated with the named representative, financial professional, Registered Investment Advisor, Broker-Dealer, nor state- or SEC-registered investment advisory firm. The opinions expressed and material provided are for general information, and they should not be considered a solicitation for the purchase or sale of any security.

Copyright 2023 FMG Suite.